IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

THURSDAY, THE 16TH AUGUST 2007 / 25TH SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1608 of 2006(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.17560 /2005 Dated 02/02/2006

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

LIJIN G.S.S/O.GOPINATHA PILLA,
AGED 41 YEARS, HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER
(MATHS), S.S.HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
MOORKANAD, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. K.T.SHYAM KUMAR

RESPONDENT: 3RD RESPONDENT:

SHRI.K.AHAMMED KUTTY,
S/O. IMBICHI MOHAMMED HAJI, AGED 85 YEARS,
MANAGER, S.S.HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
MOORKANAD, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY SR.ADV.SRI.M.M.ABDUL AZIZ.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.

Contempt Case (Civil) No. 1608 of 2006-S

Dated this the 16th day of August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

This court, while disposing of W.P.(C).No.17560 of 2005 by its order dated 2nd February, 2006 had issued the following directions:

> *i). The 3rd respondent is directed to revert the 4th respondent to the post of HSST in S.S.Higher Secondary School, Moorkanad.*
>
> *ii). The 3rd respondent will initiate selection process for the selection and appointment of a regular Principal in S.S.Higher Secondary School, Moorkanad and finalise the selection and appointment, within four months of his receiving a copy of this judgment.*
>
> *Iii). Till selection process is completed and regular Principal is selected and appointed, the 3rd respondent is directed to appoint the petitioner as Principal in charge (as Adhoc Principal) for S.S.Higher Secondary School, Moorkanad on the basis of Exts.P1 and P2 G.O. This he will do at the earliest and at any rate within one month of his receiving a copy of this judgment. Parties shall suffer their respective costs."*

(2). Sri.M.M.Abdul Aziz, learned senior counsel appearing for the contemnor would submit that the respondent has complied with the orders and directions issued by this court.

(3). We do not doubt the correctness or otherwise the statement made by the learned counsel. Accordingly, for the present, we drop this contempt

proceedings.

Ordered accordingly.

(H.L.DATTU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.T.SANKARAN)
JUDGE

MS